

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ISRAEL MORALES,

No. C-07-6002 TEH (PR)

Petitioner,

v.

AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

D. K. SISTO, Warden

Respondent.

Petitioner, a state prisoner incarcerated at California State Prison in Solano, California, has filed a pro se Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging a judgment of conviction from Santa Clara County Superior Court. Petitioner also seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Doc. ## 20 & 21.

28 of this Order.

On January 4, 2010, the Court issued an Order directing Respondent to file by January 15, 2010 a responsive pleading to the Order to Show Cause ("OSC") issued on October 8, 2009. See Doc. ## 22 & 23. The OSC, however, never was served on Respondent. As such, the Court's January 4, 2010 Order is hereby VACATED. Respondent is directed to file a responsive pleading sixty (60) days from the date

_

Following his convictions in Santa Clara County Superior
Court of second degree murder, shooting at an occupied vehicle and
illegal possession of a firearm, Petitioner was sentenced to
fifteen-years-to-life in state prison. The California Court of
Appeal affirmed the judgment in an unpublished opinion. People v.
Morales, No. H026508,2005 WL 67098 (Cal. Ct. App. Jan. 13, 2005).
On March 23, 2005, the California Supreme Court denied his Petition
for Review. Id. Thereafter, Petitioner sought collateral review in
the state superior and appellate courts, which denied relief.
Pending before this Court is the instant federal Petition for a Writ
of Habeas Corpus. Doc. #1.

ΙI

This Court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." Id. § 2243.

Petitioner seeks federal habeas corpus relief by alleging various claims, including instructional error, juror misconduct, improper calculation of his time credits and ineffective assistance of appellate counsel. See Doc. #1. Liberally construed, these

claims appear cognizable under § 2254 and merit an Answer from Respondent. See Zichko v. Idaho, 247 F.3d 1015, 1020 (9th Cir. 2001) (federal courts must construe pro se petitions for writs of habeas corpus liberally).

III

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

- Petitioner's requests to proceed in forma pauperis
 (Doc. ## 20 & 21) are GRANTED.
- 2. The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this Order and the Petition, and all attachments thereto, on Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this Order on Petitioner.
- 3. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within sixty (60) days of the issuance of this Order, an Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the Answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the Petition.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, he shall do so by filing a Traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within thirty (30) days of his receipt of the Answer.

4. In lieu of an Answer, Respondent may file a Motion to

1	Dismiss on procedural grounds, as set forth in the Advisory
2	Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.
3	If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the
4	Court and serve on Respondent an Opposition or Statement of
5	Non-Opposition within thirty (30) days of receipt of the motion, and
6	Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a Reply
7	within fifteen (15) days of receipt of any Opposition.
8	5. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with
9	the Court must be served on Respondent by mailing a true copy of the
10	document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner also must keep the
11	Court and all parties informed of any change of address.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	Hell of aneman
15	DATED 01/07/10 THELTON E. HENDERSON
16	United States District Judge
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
26	
20 27	G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.07\Morales-07-6002.osc-2.wpd
<i> 1</i>	1